Before the court is John Davis Trucking's ("JDT") motion in limine #1 (#418) and Amtrak and Union Pacific's response thereto (#425). JDT seeks to preclude Amtrak and Union Pacific's experts

26

27

28

from providing rebuttal testimony at trial because none of the experts have produced rebuttal reports.

In its order of April 28, 2014, the court determined that Amtrak and Union Pacific were not required to file any rebuttal expert reports. The court based this ruling on the representation of Amtrak and Union Pacific that they would rely only on their experts previously disclosed on April 4, 2014, to rebut the opinions and anticipated testimony of JDT's experts. Therefore, the court concluded that rebuttal reports were not required because any such reports would be redundant of the reports previously disclosed to JDT. Therefore, the court's holding precludes Amtrak and Union Pacific from disclosing or calling additional experts without leave of court. In addition, in providing any rebuttal testimony, Amtrak and Union Pacific's previously disclosed experts shall be limited to the opinions and matters expressed in their initial reports. Should Amtrak and Union Pacific now contend that the initial expert reports are not expansive enough to rebut JDT's experts, Amtrak and Union Pacific shall have until May 9, 2014, to provide rebuttal expert reports by their previously disclosed experts.

Accordingly, JDT's motion in limine #1 (#418) is **DENIED**. Amtrak and Union Pacific's request for sanctions pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 37(a)(5)(B) is **DENIED** as frivolous.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: This 6th day of May, 2014.

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

Howard DM: Killen UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE